Agenda Item 13

Committee: Planning Applications

Date: 13th February 2014

Wards: All

Subject: Planning Appeal Decisions

Lead officer: Head of Public Protection and Development

Lead member: Chair, Planning Applications Committee

Contact officer: Stuart Humphryes

Recommendation:

That Members note the contents of the report.

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 For Members' information recent decisions made by Inspectors appointed by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government in respect of recent Town Planning Appeals are set out below.
- 1.2 The relevant Inspectorate decision letters are not attached to this report, but can be viewed by either clicking the individual hyperlinks provided or by visiting the Council web-site at the following address:

http://www.merton.gov.uk/council/committee.htm?view=committee&com_id=165

DETAILS

1.1 Application number: Site: Ward: Development:

> Recommendation: Appeal Decision: Date of Appeal Decision:

13/P2327

3 Beech Close, Wimbledon SW19 4TU Village Erection of two storey front extension and part single part two storey rear extension. Refuse Permission (Delegated Decision) ALLOWED 15th January 2014

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000081000/1000081266/13P2327_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf

DETAILS

1.2 Application number: Site: Ward: Development:

Recommendation: Appeal Decision Date of Appeal Decision:

13/P2396 39 Heath Drive, London SW20 9BE West Barnes Erection of two storey side extension, a single storey rear extension and erection of rear roof extension... Refuse Permission (Delegated Decision) DISMISSED 3rd February 2014 2013

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000081000/1000081327/13P2396_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 3.1 The appeal decision is final unless it is successfully challenged in the Courts. If a challenge is successful, the appeal decision will be quashed and the case returned to the Secretary of State for re-determination. It does not follow necessarily that the original appeal decision will be reversed when it is re-determined.
- 3.2 The Council may wish to consider taking legal advice before embarking on a challenge. The following applies: Under the provision of Section 288 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990, or Section 63 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, a person or an establishment who is aggrieved by a decision may seek to have it quashed by making an application to the High Court on the following grounds: -
 - 1. That the decision is not within the powers of the Act; or
 - 2. That any of the relevant requirements have not been complied with; (relevant requirements means any requirements of the 1990 Act or of the Tribunal's Land Enquiries Act 1992, or of any Order, Regulation or Rule made under those Acts).

1 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

1.1. None required for the purposes of this report.

2 TIMETABLE

2.1. N/A

3 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

3.1. There are financial implications for the Council in respect of appeal decisions where costs are awarded against the Council.

4 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

4.1. An Inspector's decision may be challenged in the High Court, within 6 weeks of the date of the decision letter (see above).

5 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

5.1. None for the purposes of this report.

6 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

6.1. None for the purposes of this report.

7 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

7.1. See 6.1 above.

8 BACKGROUND PAPERS

8.1. The papers used to compile this report are the Council's Development Control service's Town Planning files relating to the sites referred to above and the agendas and minutes of the Planning Applications Committee where relevant.

This page is intentionally left blank